Hello group 7

We only have overall comments to your report and will therefore not make a review of each section of your report.

The good things:

1. A report that clearly fulfills most of the elements in the exercise.
2. The explanations in the report and the separation for the reader by using LaTeX is good (italics, bold etc.).
3. The contents of the report can be understood of students of the same level of knowledge (or less).
4. The sum up in the *Name Resolution* section ends the section well.
5. Your prototype demonstration is well-explained and well-demonstrated, also with the use cases.

The bad things:

1. Unnecessary pictures/figures like 2.6 could be removed - it’s not that interesting, and your figure 2.4 is in the report, but not explained. The pictures/figures could be either scaled in size or moved beside the text instead of taking that much space.
2. It is possible to remove some words or eventually combine them.
3. The discussion and perspective parts are missing. We also have doubts regarding setting links in the bibliography.
4. Doubts about the person and time, where HLT was proposed (look in Christians slides, and the name Peggy Karp and 1971-1972 is mentioned).
5. A figure to the section *Name Resolution* instead of that much text!
6. After the 15 pages, there is still no prototype, and we have therefore concluded the report to be sent to external review… Just kidding;-) ! But seriously, your report have exceeded the 15 pages that is should be...

Your report has been reviewed, and we will set it as OK! Keep up the good work! :-)

With best regards

Group 8.